

# Imaging and intervention

Strengthening aortic stenosis (AS) diagnoses and enabling timely intervention through echocardiography

## Severe symptomatic AS is progressive and can quickly become life-threatening

Once mild, average hemodynamic rates worsen per year <sup>1</sup>	<b>+ 0.3 m/s</b> Increase in velocity	<b>+ 7 mmHg</b> Increase in mean pressure gradient	<b>- 0.1cm<sup>2</sup></b> Decrease in valve area
---	--	---	--



Considering hemodynamic parameters is essential for accurate evaluations and timely aortic valve replacement (AVR)



Severe, symptomatic patients face an increasing probability of death while waiting for treatment<sup>2</sup>

**11.6%** at 6 months post recommendation for AVR<sup>3</sup>

Hemodynamic parameters defined by AHA/ACC guidelines <sup>2</sup>						
Stage	Definition	Valve hemodynamics			Hemodynamic consequences	
		Aortic valve area	Aortic V <sub>max</sub>	Mean pressure gradient		
C1	Asymptomatic severe AS	Typically AVA ≤ 1.0 cm <sup>2</sup> (or AVAi ≤ 0.6 cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> )	≥ 4 m/s	or	≥ 40 mm Hg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LV diastolic dysfunction</li> <li>• Mild LV hypertrophy</li> <li>• Normal LVEF</li> </ul>
C2	Asymptomatic severe AS with LV dysfunction					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LVEF &lt; 50%</li> </ul>
D1	Symptomatic severe high gradient AS					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LV diastolic dysfunction</li> <li>• LV hypertrophy</li> <li>• Pulmonary hypertension may be present</li> </ul>
D2	Symptomatic severe low-flow/low-gradient with reduced LVEF	AVA ≤ 1.0 cm <sup>2</sup>	< 4 m/s	or	< 40 mm Hg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LV diastolic dysfunction</li> <li>• LV hypertrophy</li> <li>• LVEF &lt; 50%</li> </ul>
D3	Symptomatic severe low-gradient with normal LVEF or paradoxical low-flow	AVA ≤ 1.0 cm <sup>2</sup> (AVAi ≤ 0.6 cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ) and stroke volume index < 35 ml/m <sup>2</sup> measured when patient is normotensive*				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased LV relative wall thickness</li> <li>• Small LV chamber with low stroke volume</li> <li>• Restrictive diastolic filling</li> <li>• LVEF ≥ 50%</li> </ul>

\*systolic blood pressure < 140 mmHg

 **Optimal imaging is crucial to determine timing of intervention for severe AS which, once symptomatic, is critical for survival.**

## Optimizing assessments

Severe aortic stenosis (SAS) can pose unique challenges that require special considerations during work-up and imaging

As many as 35% of SAS patients may be in a low-flow state ( $SV_i < 35 \text{ ml/m}^2$ ) and require careful hemodynamic evaluation<sup>4</sup>



Avoid the underestimation of LVOT area and thus underestimation of flow rate<sup>5</sup>



Patients with lower than expected gradients despite preserved LVEF can lead to an underestimation of severity, which may delay aortic valve replacement<sup>6</sup>



Use baseline and low-dose dobutamine stress echocardiography to differentiate between true and pseudo SAS in those with reduced LVEF<sup>2</sup>

Symptoms may not be caused by old age. If you suspect aortic stenosis, ask your patients about experiencing any of the following:

Once severe, the rate of progression to symptoms is high

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Shortness of breath</li><li>• Syncope or presyncope</li><li>• Angina</li><li>• Fatigue</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Difficulty when exercising</li><li>• Swollen ankles and feet</li><li>• Rapid or irregular heartbeat</li></ul> |
|--|---|

**Early and accurate detection is crucial to saving lives. One out of every 2 patients with SAS do not receive a timely diagnosis, delaying life-saving treatment.<sup>7</sup>**

### References:

1. Otto CM, Burwash IG, Legget ME, et al. Prospective study of asymptomatic valvular aortic stenosis. Clinical, echocardiographic, and exercise predictors of outcome. *Circulation*. 1997;95(9):2262-2270.
2. Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2014;129(23):2440-92.
3. Malaisrie SC, McDonald E, Kruse J, et al. Mortality while waiting for aortic valve replacement. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2014;98(5):1564-1571. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2014.06.040.
4. Clavel MA, Magne J, Pibarot P. Low gradient aortic stenosis. *Eur Heart J*. 2016; 37(34): 2645–2657.
5. Baumgartner, H. (2017). Recommendations on the Echocardiographic Assessment of Aortic Valve Stenosis: A Focused Update. *JASE*, 30(4), 372–392.
6. Dumesnil, J. G. (2009). Paradoxical low flow and/or low gradient severe aortic stenosis despite preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *EJ*, 31(3), 281–289.
7. Brennan JM. Disparities in the treatment of aortic stenosis: race, gender, and referring physician biases. Presented at: TVT: The Structural Heart Summit; June 12-15, 2019; Chicago, IL.