

Watching and waiting isn't winning.

There is an urgent need to treat patients over the age of 80 with AS*. Evidence shows us that conservative management of patients over the age of 80 can lead to poor prognosis.¹ Recently published data reveals that increased wait times for treatment are associated with increased mortality and hospitalisations.³ However, we can still see instances where the decision not to intervene is linked to a patient's chronological age.⁴



A patient's physiological age is a much better indicator of suitability for SAVR or TAVI and the expected clinical and QoL outcomes than chronological age.⁵

ESC guidelines support your early decision to treat AS



The ESC updated AS treatment guidelines to reflect improved risk assessment models that use a comorbidity-focused approach or an age cutoff.⁶

Don't let age be a barrier to AS treatment



ESC guidelines recommend TF-TAVI as the preferred mode of intervention in patients with severe AS ≥75 years of age⁶



AVR treatment is the right choice for your AS patients over the age of 80

For today...

For many elderly patients, improved survival is not the primary goal of treatment.⁷ TAVI provides a clinically important improvement in the perceived physical burden of AS and can improve physical health and quality of life in the short term.⁸

Patient reasons for choosing TAVI⁷









...and tomorrow

Similarly favourable survival outcomes were achieved in the elderly population as in younger patients, even with the presence of comorbid conditions. Despite high predicted operative risk in this age group, outcomes were excellent with low operative stroke (2.2%) and death (4.7%) rates (observed-to-expected ratio 0.55). On the conditions of the elderly population as in younger patients, even with the presence of comorbid conditions. Despite high predicted operative risk in this age group, outcomes were excellent with low operative stroke (2.2%) and death (4.7%) rates (observed-to-expected ratio 0.55).



TAVI has been recognised as an effective and safe treatment option that improves QoL in elderly patients with comparable outcomes to younger patients in life expectancy. 11,12

AS, Aortic Stenosis; AVR, Aortic Valve Replacement; QoL, Quality of Life; TAVI, Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement.

References:

1. Martínez-Sellés M, et al. Prospective registry of symptomatic severe aortic stenosis in octogenarians: a need for intervention. *J Intern Med*. 2014 Jun;275(6):608 – 620. **2**. Albassam O, et al. Increasing Wait-Time Mortality for Severe Aortic Stenosis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2020;13:e009297. doi:10.1161/circinterventions.120.009297. **3**. Lutz M, et al. Differences in the presentation and management of patients with severe aortic stenosis in different European centres. *Open Heart*. 2020;7(2):e001345. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2020-001345. Page 2 4. Eugène M, et al. Contemporary Management of Severe Symptomatic Aortic Stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021 Nov 30;78(22): 2131 – 2143. **5**. Al-Atassi T, Boodhwani M. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation in nonagenarians: Looking beyond survival. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2015 Sep;150(3):723 – 724. **6**. Vahanian A, et al. 2021 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart* J. 2021;00:1 – 72. **7**. Kodali SK, et al. Valvular Heart Disease in Patients ≥80 Years of Age. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018 May 8;71(18):2058 – 2072. **8**. Olsen SJ, et al. Changes in self-reported health and quality of life in octogenarian patients one month after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2017 Jan;16(1):79-87. **9**. Kahraman Ay N. Impact of age on long term survival following transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *J Geriatr Cardiol*. 2019 Mar;16(3):265 – 271. **10**. Greason KL, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve insertion in the nonagenarian patient. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2015;150:720 – 1.11. Habertheuer A, et al. Longitudinal Outcomes of Nonagenarians Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2021 May;111(5):1520 – 1528. 12. Kennon S, et al. Quality of life after transcatheter or surgical aortic valve replacement using the Toronto Aortic Stenosis Quality of Life Questionnaire. *Open Heart*. 2021;8:e001821. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2021-001821.

Edwards, Edwards Lifesciences, and the stylized E logo are trademarks or service marks of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation or its affiliates. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.



